

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,719	01/30/2001	Timothy W. Rawlings	8846.00	9476
29994 75	90 01/08/2003			
DOUGLAS S.	FOOTE	EXAMINER		
NRC CORPOR. 1700 S. PATTE	ATION RSON BLVD. WHQ5E	AHMAD, NASSER		
DAYTON, OH	45479		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	5
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AS-5

Application No.

09/771,719

Applicant(s)

Rawlings et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner Nasser Ahmad

Art Unit 1772



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period f	or Reply				AACAITUIC) FDOM		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 23, 20	002			·		
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u>				is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) 11-16				is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	Claim(s)						
	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>						
7) 🗆	Claim(s)						
8) 🗀	Claims						
	tion Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗌 a	accept	ed or b)	objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		i:	s: a) □ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) 🗌 All b) 🗍 Some* c) 🔲 None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
_	nent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 In	terview	Summary (PT	O-413) Paper No(s).		
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲 N	latice of I	nformal Pate	nt Application (PTO-152)		
3) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) 🗌 O	ther:				

Application/Control Number: 09/771,719

Art Unit: 1772

1. Applicants' election with traverse is acknowledged. However, in paper no. 4, filed on September 23, 2002, in the absence of any grounds of traversal, the restriction requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Further, if the claims of group I are found to be allowable, the non-elected methods may be rejoined if it includes all the limitations of the allowable claims.

- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph for reasons of record in paper no. 3, paragraph 9 mailed on June 18, 2002.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Fox for reasons of record in paper no. 3, paragraph 12.
- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fox for reasons or record in paper no. 3, paragraph 14.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed September 23, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that amendment to claim 1 overcomes the 35 USC 112, second paragraph. This is not found to be convincing because now it is unclear as to the location of the facesheet with respect to the label sheet.

Applicant also argues that Fox fails to teach a label sheet with two opposing printable sides. This is not deemed to be persuasive because Fox's label sheet, as in the instant application, comprises a face sheet, an adhesive layer bonded to the face-sheet and a release liner adhered to the adhesive. Thus, the label sheet of Fox can have two opposing printable sides. This is because the top surface of the face sheet can be printable and the bottom surface of the release liner can be printable. In the alternative, Fox's label sheet (12) includes the portion (26)

Application/Control Number: 09/771,719

Art Unit: 1772

which can be printable on both sides. Also, the phrase "printable" can be taken to be an intended future use of the sheet for printing and hence, not be a positive limitation.

Regarding applicant's allegation that there is no teaching within Fox reference that the ties will prevent delamination from second printing, applicant is informed that it is not necessary for the features to be expressly suggested in the reference to be obvious. The provision of having a second face sheet adhesively adhered to the opposite side of a release liner would have involved mere duplication of parts and reducing waste. As for the ties to prevent delamination, with all the features of the laminated structures being the same in both the instant application and the Fox reference, the prevention of delamination from a second printing would also have been obvious. Additionally, applicant has failed to provide any evidence to that effect.

Thus, in the absence of any evidence to the contrary, it remains the Examiner's position that the instant claimed invention is anticipated or rendered obvious over the prior art of record discussed above.

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/771,719

Art Unit: 1772

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. This application contains claims 11-16 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 3. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 703-308-4424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 am to 5 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

N Ahmad/mn January 6, 2003 NASSER AHMAD PRIMARY EXAMINER